IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.37633 of 2008
DINESH RAM & MUKESH RAM
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 12.11.2008 Heard Sri Deepak Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The two petitioners are not named in the FIR of a case under Section 394 IPC. The rejection order indicates as if the petitioners were identified by the informant. The explanation is that the informant had gone to the village of the petitioners who informed him that they could be helpful to him in tracing out the real culprits.

The contention is that in the light of the above fact the identification by the informant is inconsequential.

Let the above named two petitioners be released from custody on furnishing bond of Rs.3,000/-(three thousand)each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M. Khagaria in Beldaur P.S.Case No.86 of 2007.

(Dharnidhar Jha, J.)

B.Kr.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL